

Questionnaire “Logic and Computability”

Summer Term 2022

Contents

7	Natural Deduction for Predicate Logic	1
7.1	Lecture	1
7.1.1	Proof Rules for Universal Quantification	1
7.1.2	Proof Rules for Existential Quantification	1
7.1.3	Quantifier Equivalences	1
7.1.4	Counterexamples	2
7.2	Practicals	2
7.3	Self-Assessment	2
7.3.1	Proof Rules for Universal Quantification	2
7.3.2	Proof Rules for Existential Quantification	3
7.3.3	Quantifier Equivalences	3
7.3.4	Counterexamples	3
7.3.5	Mixed Examples	3

7 Natural Deduction for Predicate Logic

7.1 Lecture

For each of the following sequents, either provide a natural deduction proof, or a counter-example that proves the sequent invalid.

For proofs, clearly indicate which rule, and what assumptions/premises/intermediate results you are using in each step. Also clearly indicate the scope of any boxes you use.

For counterexamples, give a complete model. Show that the model satisfies the premise(s) of the sequent in question, but does not satisfy the respective conclusion.

7.1.1 Proof Rules for Universal Quantification

- [Lecture] $\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)), \forall x P(x) \vdash \forall x Q(x)$.
- [Lecture] $\forall x P(x) \wedge \forall x (P(y) \rightarrow Q(x)) \vdash Q(z)$
- [Lecture] $\forall x P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x) \vdash \forall y (P(y) \vee Q(y))$

7.1.2 Proof Rules for Existential Quantification

- [Lecture] $\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(y)), \forall y (P(y) \wedge R(x)) \vdash \exists x Q(x)$
- [Lecture] $\forall a \forall b (P(a) \wedge Q(b)) \vdash \forall a \exists b (P(a) \vee Q(b))$
- [Lecture] Explain the \exists -elimination rule ($\exists e$). Why does this rule require a box and what does it mean that x_0 is *fresh*?
- [Lecture] $\exists x \neg P(x), \forall x \neg Q(x) \vdash \exists x (\neg P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x))$
- [Lecture] Consider the following natural deduction proof for the sequent

$$\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)), \exists x P(x) \vdash \forall x Q(x).$$

Is the proof correct? If not, explain the error in the proof and either show how to correctly prove the sequent, or give a counterexample that proves the sequent invalid.

- | | | |
|----|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | $\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$ | prem. |
| 2. | $\exists x P(x)$ | prem. |
| 3. | x_0 | |
| 4. | $P(x_0)$ | ass. |
| 5. | $P(x_0) \rightarrow Q(x_0)$ | $\forall e$ 1 |
| 6. | $Q(x_0)$ | $\rightarrow e$, 4,5 |
| 7. | $\forall x Q(x)$ | $\forall i$ 4-6 |
| 8. | $\forall x Q(x)$ | $\exists e$ 2,3-7 |

- [Lecture] $\exists x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(y)), \forall x P(x) \vdash Q(y)$

7.1.3 Quantifier Equivalences

- [Lecture] $\forall x \neg(P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \vdash \neg \exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$
- [Lecture] $\neg \exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \vdash \forall x \neg(P(x) \wedge Q(x))$

7.1.4 Counterexamples

12. [Lecture] $\exists x \neg P(x), \exists x \neg Q(x) \quad \vdash \quad \exists x (\neg P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x))$
13. [Lecture] $\exists x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(y)), \exists x P(x) \quad \vdash \quad Q(y)$

7.2 Practicals

For each of the following sequents, either provide a natural deduction proof, or a counter-example that proves the sequent invalid.

For proofs, clearly indicate which rule, and what assumptions/premises/intermediate results you are using in each step. Also clearly indicate the scope of any boxes you use.

For counterexamples, give a complete model. Show that the model satisfies the premise(s) of the sequent in question, but does not satisfy the respective conclusion.

1. [Practicals] [2 Point]
 - (a) $(\forall x (\neg A(x)) \vee (\exists x (B(x)))) \quad \vdash \quad \forall x (\neg A(x) \vee B(x))$
 - (b) $(\forall x (\neg A(x)) \vee (\exists x (B(x)))) \quad \vdash \quad \exists x (\neg A(x) \vee B(x))$
2. [Practicals] [2 Point] $\exists x P(x) \vee \exists x Q(x) \quad \vdash \quad \exists x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$
3. [Practicals] [3 Point] $\exists b (a \rightarrow B(b)) \quad \vdash \quad a \rightarrow \exists b B(b)$
4. [Practicals] [3 Point] $\exists x (S(x) \rightarrow T(x)), \neg T(z) \wedge \neg T(y) \quad \vdash \quad \neg S(y)$
5. [Practicals] [3 Point] $\forall r U(r) \wedge \forall r (S(r) \rightarrow T(r)) \quad \vdash \quad \exists r \neg T(x) \rightarrow \exists r (\neg S(r) \wedge U(r))$
6. [Practicals] [3.5 Point] $\exists a (P(a) \vee Q(a)), \exists a P(a) \rightarrow R(c), \exists b Q(b) \rightarrow R(c) \quad \vdash \quad R(c)$
7. [Practicals] [3.5 Point] $\exists x P(x) \rightarrow \exists x Q(x) \quad \vdash \quad \exists x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$

7.3 Self-Assessment

For each of the following sequents, either provide a natural deduction proof, or a counter-example that proves the sequent invalid.

For proofs, clearly indicate which rule, and what assumptions/premises/intermediate results you are using in each step. Also clearly indicate the scope of any boxes you use.

For counterexamples, give a complete model. Show that the model satisfies the premise(s) of the sequent in question, but does not satisfy the respective conclusion.

7.3.1 Proof Rules for Universal Quantification

14. [Self-Assessment] Explain the \forall -introduction rule and the \forall -elimination rule. Explain why one rule needs a box while the other one does not. What does it mean that x_0 needs to be fresh?
15. [Self-Assessment] $\forall x (P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \quad \vdash \quad \forall x ((Q(x) \vee R(x)) \wedge (R(x) \vee P(x)))$
16. [Self-Assessment] $\forall x (P(x) \vee Q(x)), \forall x (\neg P(x)) \quad \vdash \quad \forall x (Q(x))$

7.3.2 Proof Rules for Existential Quantification

17. [Self-Assessment] $\exists x (Q(x) \rightarrow R(x)), \exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \vdash \exists x (P(x) \wedge R(x))$
 18. [Self-Assessment] $\forall x (Q(x) \rightarrow R(x)), \exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \vdash \exists x (P(x) \wedge R(x))$

7.3.3 Quantifier Equivalences

19. [Self-Assessment] $\neg \exists x \forall y (P(x) \wedge Q(y)) \vdash \forall x \exists y \neg (P(x) \wedge Q(y))$
 20. [Self-Assessment] $\forall x \exists y \neg (P(x) \wedge Q(y)) \vdash \neg \exists x \forall y (P(x) \wedge Q(y))$

7.3.4 Counterexamples

21. [Self-Assessment] $\neg \exists x \neg P(x) \vdash \forall x \neg P(x)$

7.3.5 Mixed Examples

22. [Self-Assessment] $P(x) \vee Q(y), P(x) \rightarrow R(z), Q(y) \rightarrow R(z) \vdash R(z)$
 23. [Self-Assessment] $\exists y \forall x (P(x, y)) \vdash \forall x \exists y (P(x, y))$
 24. [Self-Assessment] $\exists a \forall b (S(b, a) \wedge T(b, a)) \vdash \forall b \forall a (S(b, a) \wedge T(b, a))$
 25. [Self-Assessment] $P(y) \rightarrow \forall x Q(x), \exists x \neg Q(x) \vdash \exists x \neg P(x)$
 26. [Self-Assessment] Consider the following natural deduction proof for the sequent

$$\exists x \neg P(x) \vdash \neg \forall x P(x).$$

Is the proof correct? If not, explain the error in the proof and either show how to correctly prove the sequent, or give a counterexample that proves the sequent invalid.

1.	$\exists x \neg P(x)$	prem.
2.	$\forall x P(x)$	ass.
3.	$P(x_0)$	$\forall e$ 2
4.	$\exists x P(x)$	$\exists i$ 3
5.	\perp	$\neg e$ 1,4
6.	$\neg \forall x P(x)$	$\neg e$ 2-5

27. [Self-Assessment] Consider the following natural deduction proof for the sequent

$$\exists x P(x) \vee \exists x Q(x) \vdash \exists x (P(x) \vee Q(x)).$$

Is the proof correct? If not, explain the error in the proof and either show how to correctly prove the sequent, or give a counterexample that proves the sequent invalid.

1.	$\exists x P(x) \vee \exists x Q(x)$	prem.
2.	$\exists x P(x)$	ass.
3.	$x_0 P(x_0)$	ass.
4.	$P(x_0) \vee Q(x_0)$	$\vee i_1$ 3
5.	$\exists x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$	$\exists e$ 2,3-4
6.	$\exists x Q(x)$	ass.
7.	$x_0 Q(x_0)$	ass.
8.	$P(x_0) \vee Q(x_0)$	$\vee i_2$ 7
9.	$\exists x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$	$\exists e$ 6,7-8
10.	$\exists x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$	$\vee e$ 1,2-5,6-9

28. [Self-Assessment] $\forall x \exists y (P(x) \rightarrow Q(y)), P(s) \quad \vdash \quad \exists x \forall y (\neg P(x) \vee Q(y))$