Logic and Computability

Temporal Logic

Bettina Könighofer
bettina.koenighofer@lamarr.at

Stefan Pranger
stefan.pranger@iaik.tugraz.at



https://xkcd.com/1393/

Warm Up – Modelling sentences

Translate the following sentences in propositional logic:

- “If there is coffee and cake, then the workshop is a success. “

Warm Up – Modelling sentences

Translate the following sentences in propositional logic:

- “If there is coffee and cake, then the workshop is a success. “
  - p… there is coffee, q… there is cake, r… the workshop is a success
  - $p \wedge q \rightarrow r$

Warm Up – Modelling sentences

Translate the following sentences in propositional logic:

- “If there is a request, the arbiter gives a grant in the **next time step**. “
- “If there is a request, the arbiter gives a grant within the **next two time steps**. “
- “If there is a request, the arbiter gives a grant **eventually**. “

Warm Up – Modelling sentences

Translate the following sentences in propositional logic:

- “If there is a request, the arbiter gives a grant in the **next time step**. “
  - p… there is a request, q… arbiter gives a grant in the next time step
  - $p \rightarrow q$
- “If there is a request, the arbiter gives a grant within the **next two time steps**. “
- “If there is a request, the arbiter gives a grant **eventually**. “

Warm Up – Modelling sentences

Translate the following sentences in propositional logic:

- "If there is a request, the arbiter gives a grant in the **next time step**. "
  - p… there is a request, q… arbiter gives a grant in the next time step
  - $p \rightarrow q$
- "If there is a request, the arbiter gives a grant within the **next two time steps**. "
  - p… there is a request, q… arbiter gives a grant within the next two time steps
  - $p \rightarrow q$
- "If there is a request, the arbiter gives a grant **eventually**. "

Warm Up – Modelling sentences

Translate the following sentences in propositional logic:

- "If there is a request, the arbiter gives a grant in the **next time step**. "
  - p… there is a request, q… arbiter gives a grant in the next time step
  - $p \rightarrow q$
- "If there is a request, the arbiter gives a grant within the **next two time steps**. "
  - p… there is a request, q… arbiter gives a grant within the next two time steps
  - $p \rightarrow q$
- "If there is a request, the arbiter gives a grant **eventually**. "
  - p… there is a request, q… the arbiter gives a grant eventually
  - $p \rightarrow q$

Motivation

- We want to specify properties of hardware and software
- Temporal operators allow to encode formula about the future of paths
  - a condition will eventually be true
  - a condition will be true until another fact becomes true
  - etc
- Model Checking
  - Checks whether a model of a system meets a given specification
  - Specification typically expressed in temporal logic

Outline

- Temporal Operators
  - Modelling Sentences
- Kripke Structures
  - Temporal Properties on Kripke Structures
- CTL*
  - Path Operators
  - Intuitive Meaning + Syntax

Temporal Operators

- Describe properties that hold along an execution path of a system

Next **X**p

Always / Gloabally **G**p

Finally / Eventually **F**p



Translate in temporal logic

Translate the following sentences in temporal propositional logic:

- “If there is a request, the arbiter gives a grant in the **next time step**. “
- “If there is a request, the arbiter gives a grant within the **next two time steps**. “
- “If there is a request, the arbiter gives a grant **eventually**. “

Temporal Operators
X… next
G… globally
F… eventually

Translate in temporal logic

Translate the following sentences in temporal propositional logic:

- "If there is a request, the arbiter gives a grant in the **next time step**. "
  - r… there is a request, g… arbiter gives grant
  - $G(r \rightarrow Xg)$
- "If there is a request, the arbiter gives a grant within the **next two time steps**. "
- "If there is a request, the arbiter gives a grant **eventually**. "

Temporal Operators
X… next
G… globally
F… eventually

Translate in temporal logic

Translate the following sentences in temporal propositional logic:

- "If there is a request, the arbiter gives a grant in the **next time step**. "
  - r… there is a request, g… arbiter gives grant
  - $G(r \rightarrow Xg)$
- "If there is a request, the arbiter gives a grant within the **next two time steps**. "
  - r… there is a request, g… arbiter gives grant
  - $G(r \rightarrow (Xg \vee XXg))$
- "If there is a request, the arbiter gives a grant **eventually**. "

Temporal Operators
X… next
G… globally
F… eventually

Translate in temporal logic

Translate the following sentences in temporal propositional logic:

- "If there is a request, the arbiter gives a grant in the **next time step**. "
  - r… there is a request, g… arbiter gives grant
  - $G(r \rightarrow Xg)$
- "If there is a request, the arbiter gives a grant within the **next two time steps**. "
  - r… there is a request, g… arbiter gives grant
  - $G(r \rightarrow (Xg \vee XXg))$
- "If there is a request, the arbiter gives a grant **eventually**. "
  - r… there is a request, g… arbiter gives grant
  - $G(r \rightarrow Fg)$

Temporal Operators
X… next
G… globally
F… eventually

1 Translate the following sentences in temporal propositional logic:

a) The system gives a grant infinitely often.
b) The system sends a request finitely often.

- “The system gives a grant infinitely often.“

- “The system sends a request finitely often.“

Temporal Operators
X… next
G… globally
F… eventually

1 Translate the following sentences in temporal propositional logic:

a) The system gives a grant infinitely often.

b) The system sends a request finitely often.

- "The system gives a grant infinitely often."
  - g… the system sends a grant
  - $GF(g)$
- "The system sends a request finitely often."

Temporal Operators

X… next

G… globally

F… eventually

1 Translate the following sentences in temporal propositional logic:

a) The system gives a grant infinitely often.

b) The system sends a request finitely often.

- "The system gives a grant infinitely often."
  - g… the system sends a grant
  - $GF(g)$
- "The system sends a request finitely often."
  - r… system sends a request
  - $FG(\neg r)$

Temporal Operators

X… next

G… globally

F… eventually

Temporal Operators

Next Xp

Always / Gloabally Gp

Finally / Eventually Fp

Until pUq



Temporal Operators

X… next

G… globally

F… eventually

U… until

Temporal Operators

Next Xp

Always / Gloabally Gp

Finally / Eventually Fp

Until pUq



Translate the following sentences in temporal propositional logic:

- “The request is high until the arbiter gives a grant. “

Temporal Operators

X… next

G… globally

F… eventually

U… until

Temporal Operators

Next Xp

Always / Gloabally Gp

Finally / Eventually Fp

Until pUq



Translate the following sentences in temporal propositional logic:

- "The request is high until the arbiter gives a grant. "
  - r… request is high, g… arbiter gives grant
  - r U g

Temporal Operators

X… next

G… globally

F… eventually

U… until

Outline

- Temporal Operators ✓
  - Modelling Sentences
- Kripke Structures
  - Temporal Properties on Kripke Structures
- CTL*
  - Path Operators
  - Intuitive Explanation

Kripke Structures

- Let AP be a set of Boolean variables (atomic propositions)
- A Kripke Structure is a tuple K=(S, S_0, R, L)
  - Finite Set of States S
  - Set of Initial States $S_0 \subseteq S$
  - Transition Relation $R \subseteq S \times S$
  - Labeling function: $L : S \rightarrow 2^{AP}$



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kripke_structure_(model_checking)

Kripke Structure - Example

- In this example, AP = {p,q} and K=(S, S_0, R, L) with
  - $S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$
  - $S_0 = \{s_1\}$
  - $R = \{(s_1, s_2), (s_2, s_1), (s_2, s_3), (s_3, s_3)\}$
  - $L = \{(s_1, \{p, q\}), (s_2, \{q\}), (s_3, \{p\})\}$



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kripke_structure_(model_checking)

Kripke Structures – Paths and Words

- Given a Kripke Structure K=(S, S_0, R, L)
- A path is a is a sequence of states $\rho = s_1, s_2 \ldots$ s.t. for each i > 0, $R(s_i, s_{i+1})$ hold
- The *word* on the path ρ is a sequence of sets of the atomic propositions $w = L(s_1), L(s_2), L(s_3), \ldots$



Kripke Structures – Paths and Words - Example

- Given a Kripke Structure K=(S, S_0, R, L)

- K may produce a path $\rho = s_1, s_2, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_3, s_3, \ldots = s_1, s_2, s_1, s_2, s_3^{\omega}$
- What is the execution word w over the path ρ?



Kripke Structures – Paths and Words - Example

- Given a Kripke Structure K=(S, S_0, R, L)

- K may produce a path $\rho = s_1, s_2, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_3, s_3, \ldots = s_1, s_2, s_1, s_2, s_3^{\omega}$
- What is the execution word w over the path ρ?

- w = {p, q}, {q}, {p, q}, {q}, $\{p\}^{\omega}$



2. Given the following execution word w of a Kripke structure. Evaluate the formula φ on w. Evaluate each sub-formula for any execution step using the provided table.
   - $w = \{\}\ \{a\}, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{\}, \{a\}, \{a, b\}^{\omega}$
   - $\varphi = Xa \vee a\ U\ b$

Step	0	1	2	3	4	5	ω
a	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
b	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Xa							
aUb							
$Xa \vee aUb$							

2. Given the following execution word w of a Kripke structure. Evaluate the formula φ on w. Evaluate each sub-formula for any execution step using the provided table.

- $w = \{\}\ \{a\}, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{\}, \{a\}, \{a, b\}^{\omega}$
- $\varphi = Xa \vee a\ U\ b$

Step	0	1	2	3	4	5	ω
a	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
b	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Xa	1	1	0	0	1	1	1
aUb	0	1	1	1	0	1	1
$Xa \vee aUb$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

3. Given the following execution word w of a Kripke structure. Evaluate the formula φ on w. Evaluate each sub-formula for any execution step using the provided table.

- $w = \{\}\ \{a\}, \{\}, \{a,b,c\}, \{a\}, \{a,b\}, (\{a\}, \{a,c\}, \{a,c\})^{\omega}$
- $\varphi = Ga \rightarrow (Fb \vee c)$

Step	0	1	2	3	4	5	ω		
a	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
b	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
c	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
Ga									
Fb									
$Fb \vee c$									
$Ga \rightarrow (Fb \vee c)$									

3. Given the following execution word w of a Kripke structure. Evaluate the formula φ on w. Evaluate each sub-formula for any execution step using the provided table.

- $w = \{\}\ \{a\}, \{\}, \{a,b,c\}, \{a\}, \{a,b\}, (\{a\}, \{a,c\}, \{a,c\})^{\omega}$
- $\varphi = Ga \rightarrow (Fb \vee c)$

Step	0	1	2	3	4	5	ω		
a	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
b	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
c	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
Ga	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Fb	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
$Fb \vee c$	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1
$Ga \rightarrow (Fb \vee c)$	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1

4. Given the following execution word w of a Kripke structure. Evaluate the formula φ on w. Evaluate each sub-formula for any execution step using the provided table.

- $w = \{\}\ \{a\}, \{\ \}, \{a,b,c\}, \{a\}, \{a,b\}, (\{a\}, \{a,c\}, \{a,c\})^{\omega}$
- $\varphi = GFa \rightarrow (FG\neg b \wedge c)$

Step	0	1	2	3	4	5	ω		
a	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
b	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
c	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
GFa									
$FG\neg b$									
$FG\neg b \wedge c$									
φ									

4. Given the following execution word w of a Kripke structure. Evaluate the formula φ on w. Evaluate each sub-formula for any execution step using the provided table.

- $w = \{\}\ \{a\}, \{\}, \{a,b,c\}, \{a\}, \{a,b\}, (\{a\}, \{a,c\}, \{a,c\})^{\omega}$
- $\varphi = GFa \rightarrow (FG\neg b \wedge c)$

Step	0	1	2	3	4	5	ω		
a	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
b	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
c	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
GFa	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$FG\neg b$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
$FG\neg b \wedge c$	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
φ	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1

Outline

- Temporal Operators ✓
  - Modelling Sentences
- Kripke Structures
  - Temporal Properties on Kripke Structures ✓
- CTL*
  - Path Operators
  - Intuitive Explanation

Properties of Kripke Structures - Example





Kripke Structure $K=(S, S_0, R, L)$

- Robot navigating within a city
- Kripke structure models its allowed movements
  - E.g., if the robot enters the sea, it cannot leave it anymore

Properties of Kripke Structures - Example





Specify the following properties in temporal logic:

- It is always the case that the robot *never* visits **X.**
- It is possible that the robot *never* visits **X.**

Temporal Operators

X... next
G... globally
F... eventually
U... until

Properties of Kripke Structures - Example





Temporal Operators
X... next
G... globally
F... eventually
U... until
Path quantifiers
A for **all** paths
E there **exists** a path

Specify the following properties in temporal logic:

- It is always the case that the robot *never* visits **X.**
  - $A\ G\ \neg x$
- It is possible that the robot *never* visits **X.**
  - $E\ G\ \neg x$

5 Translate the following sentences in CTL*.

- For any execution, it always holds that whenever the robot visits A, it visits C within the next two steps.

- There exists an execution such that the robot visits C within the next two steps after visiting A





Temporal Operators
X... next
G... globally
F... eventually
U... until
Path quantifiers
A for **all** paths
E there **exists** a path

5 Translate the following sentences in CTL*.

- For any execution it always holds that whenever the robot visits A, it visits C within the next two steps.
  - $A\,G\,(a \rightarrow Xc \vee XXc)$

- There exists an execution such that the robot visits C within the next two steps after visiting A
  - $E\,G\,(a \rightarrow Xc \vee XXc)$





Temporal Operators
X... next
G... globally
F... eventually
U... until

Path quantifiers
A for **all** paths
E there **exists** a path

6 Translate the following sentences in CTL*.

- The robot can visit **A** *infinitely often* and **C** *infinitely often*
- Always, the robot visits **A** *infinitely often* and **C** *infinitely often*
- If the robot visits **A** *infinitely often,* it should also visit **C** *finitely often*.





Temporal Operators
X... next
G... globally
F... eventually
U... until
Path quantifiers
A for **all** paths
E there **exists** a path

6 Translate the following sentences in CTL*.

- The robot can visit **A** *infinitely often* and **C** *infinitely often*
  - $E\ (GF\ a \wedge GF\ c)$

- Always, the robot visits **A** *infinitely often* and **C** *infinitely often*
  - $A\ (GF\ a \wedge FG\ \neg c)$

- If the robot visits **A** *infinitely often,* it should also visit **C** *finitely often*.
  - $A\ (GF\ a \rightarrow GFc)$





Temporal Operators
X... next
G... globally
F... eventually
U... until
Path quantifiers
A for **all** paths
E there **exists** a path

Computation Tree Logic – CTL*

- Defines properties of computation trees of Kripke structures

Kripke structure K,
labeled with $AP = \{a, b, c\}$



Unwinding of K into
infinite **computation tree**



Computation Tree Logic – CTL*

- Propositional Logic extended with
- Path quantifiers:
  - A for all paths starting from s have property $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$
  - E there exists a path starting from s have property $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$
  - Use combination of A and E to describe branching structure in tree
- Temporal operators
  - NeXt - $\boldsymbol{X\varphi}$: $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ has to hold at the next state
  - Finally $-\mathrm{F}\ \boldsymbol{\varphi}$: eventually $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ has to hold (somewhere on the subsequent path)
  - Globally - $\mathrm{G}\ \boldsymbol{\varphi}$: φ has to hold on the entire subsequent path.
  - Until – $\varphi\ U\ \psi$: ψ has to hold *at least* until φ becomes true, which must hold at the current or a future position.

Computation Tree Logic – CTL* - Syntax

- A CTL* formula is a „state formula“

- State formula: $\varphi \coloneqq p \mid \neg\varphi \mid \varphi \vee \varphi \mid \varphi \wedge \varphi \mid Ef \mid Af$
  with f beeing a path formula, and p beeing an atomic propostion

- Path formula: $f \coloneqq \varphi \mid \neg f \mid f \vee f \mid f \wedge f \mid Xf \mid Ff \mid Gf \mid fUf$

7 Given the following Kripke structure. Does s_0 satisfy the following formulas?

- $\varphi_1 = EXX(a \wedge b)$
- $\varphi_2 = EXAX(a \wedge b)$

Kripke structure K,
labeled with $AP = \{a, b, c\}$



Unwinding of K into
infinite **computation tree**



7 Given the following Kripke structure. Does s_0 satisfy the following formulas?

- $\varphi_1 = EXX(a \wedge b)$
- $\varphi_2 = EXAX(a \wedge b)$

Kripke structure K,
labeled with $AP = \{a, b, c\}$



Unwinding of K into infinite **computation tree**



- $s_0 \models \mathrm{EXX}\ (\mathrm{a} \wedge b)$
- $s_0 \not\models \mathrm{EXAX}\ (\mathrm{a} \wedge b)$

8 Given the following Kripke structure K.

Does s_0 satisfy the following formulas? Explain your answer.

- $\varphi_1 = EXp$
- $\varphi_2 = EG\neg p$



8 Given the following Kripke structure K.

Does s_0 satisfy the following formula? Explain your answer.

- $\varphi_1 = EXp$
- $\varphi_2 = EG\neg p$



$\mathrm{K} \vDash EX\,p$

$\mathrm{K} \vDash EG\neg p$

Example – Mutual Exclusion



- Two processes with a joint Boolean signal sem
- Each process P_i has a variable v_i describing its state:
  - v_i = N Non-critical
  - v_i = T Trying
  - v_i = C Critical

Example – Mutual Exclusion



- Does it hold that K ⊨ φ?
  - Property 1: φ := **AG**¬($C_1 \wedge C_2$)

Example – Mutual Exclusion



- Does it hold that $K \models \varphi$?
  - Property 1: φ := **AG**$\neg(C_1 \wedge C_2)$ ✓

Example – Mutual Exclusion



- Does it hold that $K \models \varphi$?
  - Property 2: φ := **AG**$\neg(T_1 \wedge T_2)$

Example – Mutual Exclusion



- Does it hold that $K \models \varphi$?
  - Property 2: φ := **AG**$\neg(T_1 \wedge T_2)$ ✗

Example – Mutual Exclusion



- Does it hold that $K \models \varphi$?
  - Property 3: $\varphi := \mathbf{AG\ EF}\ (N_1 \wedge N_2 \wedge S_0)$

Example – Mutual Exclusion



- Does it hold that $K \models \varphi$?
  - Property 3: φ := **AG EF** $(N_1 \wedge N_2 \wedge S_0)$
- No matter where you are there is always a way to get to the initial state (restart)

Example – Mutual Exclusion



- Does it hold that $K \models \varphi$?
  - Property 3: φ := **AG EF** $(N_1 \wedge N_2 \wedge S_0)$ ✓
- No matter where you are there is always a way to get to the initial state (restart)

Thank You



https://xkcd.com/1033/